ORIGINAL



DOCKETED BY

33-

RECEIVED 7008 APR 21 A 11:52 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 1 Jay L. Shapiro (No. 014650) Todd C. Wiley (No. 015358) AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL 2 Patrick J. Black (No. 017141) 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 3 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Telephone (602)916-5000 4 Attorneys for Pine Water Company 5 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 6 DOCKET NO: W-03512A-06-0407 RAYMOND R. PUGEL AND JULIE B. 7 PUGEL AS TRUSTEES OF THE RAYMOND 8 R. PUGEL AND JULIE B. PUGEL FAMILY TRUST, and ROBERT RANDALL AND 9 SALLY RANDALL 10 Complainant, v. 11 PINE WATER COMPANY, 12 Respondent. 13 DOCKET NO: W-03512A-06-0613 ASSET TRUST MANAGEMENT, CORP., 14 Complainant, v. 15 PINE WATER COMPANY, 16 Respondent. 17 DOCKET NO: W-03512A-07-0100 JAMES HILL and SIOUX HILL, husband and wife as trustees of THE HILL FAMILY TRUST, 18 Complainant, 19 v. 20 PINE WATER COMPANY, Respondent. 21 DOCKET NO: W-03512A-07-0019 BRENT WEEKES, 22 (Consolidated) Complainant, 23 v. NOTICE OF FILING TESTIMONY 24 PINE WATER COMPANY, Arizona Corporation Commission Respondent. 25 DOCKETED 26 APR 21 2008 FENNEMORE CRAIG

ROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
PHOENIX

Pine Water Company hereby submits this Notice of Filing Testimony in the above-1 referenced matter. Specifically, filed herewith is the Supplement to Rebuttal Testimony 2 of James Bossert. 3 DATED this 21st day of April, 2008. 4 5 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 6 7 de Whay 8 9 Jay L. Shapiro 10 Todd Wiley Patrick J. Black 11 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 12 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Attorneys for Pine Water Company 13 14 ORIGINAL and nineteen (19) copies of the 15 foregoing filed this 21st day of April, 2008: 16 **Docket Control** 17 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington St. 18 Phoenix, AZ 85007 19 20 Copy of the foregoing hand delivered 21 this 21st day of April, to: 22 Dwight D. Nodes Assistant Chief Administrative Law Judge 23 Arizona Corporation Commission 24 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

25

1	Kevin Torrey
2	Legal Division
	Arizona Corporation Commission
3	1200 West Washington
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
	Ernest Johnson, Director
5	Utilities Division
6	Arizona Corporation Commission
7	1200 West Washington Street
į	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
8	COPIES mailed
9	this 21st day of April, 2008 to:
	3 1 ,
10	John G. Gliege
11	Stephanie J. Gliege
12	Gliege Law Offices, PLLC
	P.O. Box 1388 Flagstaff, AZ 86002-1388
13	Tagstan, AZ 60002 1500
14	David W. Davis
15	Turley, Swan & Childers, P.C.
13	3101 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1300
16	Phoenix, AZ 85012
17	Robert M. Cassaro
	P.O. Box 1522
18	Pine, AZ 85544
19	
20	Barbara Hall
20	P.O. Box 2198
21	Pine, AZ 85544
22	
	William F. Haney
23	3018 E. Mallory Street
24	Mesa, AZ 85213
25	Marin sun ford
∠ ೨	2055348.1/75206.010

FENNEMORE CRAIG
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
PHOENIX

1	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.	
2	Jay L. Shapiro (No. 014650) Todd C. Wiley (No. 015358)	
3	3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600	
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Telephone (602)916-5000	
5	Attorneys for Pine Water Company	
6	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CO	RPORATION COMMISSION
7	RAYMOND R. PUGEL AND JULIE B.	DOCKET NO: W-03512A-06-0407
8	PUGEL AS TRUSTEES OF THE RAYMOND	
9	R. PUGEL AND JULIE B. PUGEL FAMILY TRUST, and ROBERT RANDALL AND	
10	SALLY RANDALL	
11	Complainant, v.	
12	PINE WATER COMPANY,	
13	Respondent.	
14	ASSET TRUST MANAGEMENT, CORP.,	DOCKET NO: W-03512A-06-0613
15	Complainant,	
16	v.	
17	PINE WATER COMPANY,	
18	Respondent.	
19	JAMES HILL and SIOUX HILL, husband and wife as trustees of THE HILL FAMILY	DOCKET NO: W-03512A-07-0100 (Consolidated)
20	TRUST,	(Components)
21	Complainant,	
22	V.	
23	PINE WATER COMPANY,	
	Respondent. SUPPLEM	FNT TO
24	REBUTTAL T	
25	OI	
26	JAMES BO	USSERT

1		i. <u>introduction.</u>
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.
3	A.	James Bossert.
4	Q.	MR. BOSSERT, YOU PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED REBUTTAL
5		TESTIMONY FOR THE COMPLAINANT RAY PUGEL IN THIS CASE,
6		CORRECT?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	THAT TESTIMONY WAS ENTITLED "REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
9		JAMES BOSSERT" AND FILED BY MR. PUGEL'S ATTORNEY IN THIS
10		CASE, CORRECT?
11	A.	Yes, that is my understanding.
12	Q.	LET'S DISCUSS HOW THAT TESTIMONY CAME ABOUT. HOW DID
13		YOU COME TO PROVIDE THAT REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
14	A.	I was contacted by Ray Pugel regarding possible testimony on the use of Project
15		Magnolia. Mr. Pugel knew that I previously worked for Brooke Utilities and Pine
16		Water Company, and he contacted me to provide testimony. I met with Mr. Pugel
17		at his office regarding the testimony a couple of times. Mr. Pugel asked me
18		various questions relating to Project Magnolia and Pine Water Company. I was
19		then contacted by Mr. Pugel's attorney, John Gliege, regarding the testimony. Mr.
20		Gliege asked me various questions about Pine Water Company's operation of
21		Project Magnolia. After speaking with Mr. Gliege over the phone, Mr. Gliege
22		drafted the "Rebuttal Testimony" and I read the testimony at Mr. Pugel's office. I
23		believe Mr. Gliege filed the testimony with the Corporation Commission.
24		

2		STRAWBERRY WATER COMPANY, OR ANY REPRESENTATIVES OF
. 3		THEM, INVOLVED IN PREPARING AND REVIEWING YOUR
4		TESTIMONY?
5	A.	No, they were not present for or involved in any of my conversations with Mr
6		Gliege or Mr. Pugel, and they were not involved in drafting or reviewing the
7		Rebuttal Testimony. Instead, Mr. Gliege drafted the testimony based on my phone
8		conversations with him and Mr. Pugel.
9	Q.	ARE YOU A FORMER EMPLOYEE OF BROOKE UTILITIES?
10	A.	Yes. I was a field technician and maintenance worker for Brooke Utilities unti
11		September 2007. I assisted in operation of water facilities for Pine Water
12		Company including Project Magnolia.
13	Q.	DID EITHER MR. GLIEGE OR MR. PUGEL EXPLAIN TO YOU THE
14		PURPOSE OF THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OR WHY THEY HAD
15		CONTACTED YOU OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF PINE WATER
16		COMPANY OR ITS ATTORNEYS?
17	Α.	No, but I knew that it was regarding their well and wanting to cede from the water
18		company.
19		II. <u>EMPLOYMENT WITH BROOKE UTILITIES.</u>
20	Q.	IN THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, YOU STATE "AS PART OF MY
21		WORK FOR BROOKE UTILITIES I ALSO WORKED WITH THE
22		OPERATIONS OF PINE WATER COMPANY," CORRECT?
23	A.	Yes, that was included in my testimony. My job involved routine maintenance and
24		operation of the Pine Water Company systems, including the Project Magnolia
25		pipeline between Pine and Strawberry.
26		

WAS BROOKE UTILITIES, PINE WATER COMPANY OR

1		
2	Q.	YOUR TESTIMONY ALSO STATES: "I DID WORK FOR PINE AS
3		DIRECTED IN THE OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE OF THE
4		WATER SYSTEM." DO YOU RECALL THAT TESTIMONY?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	WHO GAVE YOU DIRECTIONS RELATING TO OPERATION ANI
7		MAINTENANCE OF THE PINE WATER COMPANY SYSTEMS?
8	A.	Primarily my supervisor, Dave Allred.
9	Q.	WERE YOU THE PRIMARY WATER OPERATOR FOR PINE WATER
10		COMPANY?
11	Α.	No, I was the backup operator for Pine Water Company. I also was the backup
12		operator for Strawberry Water Company.
13	Q.	WHEN DID YOUR EMPLOYMENT WITH BROOKE UTILITIES END?
14	Α.	September of 2007.
15	Q.	WHEN WERE YOU CONTACTED BY MR. PUGEL AND MR. GLIEGE
16		ABOUT THIS CASE?
17	Α.	Approximately one month after I left Brooke Utilities.
18	Q.	NOW, WHEN YOU WERE EMPLOYED WITH BROOKE UTILITIES, DID
19		YOU PLAY ANY ROLE IN WATER MANAGEMENT DECISIONS FOR
20		PINE WATER COMPANY OR STRAWBERRY WATER COMPANY?
21	A.	No, I was not involved in any management decisions of Pine Water Company or
22		Strawberry Water Company. I also was not involved in any decisions regarding
23		water sources and supplies for those companies.
24	Q.	DID YOU HAVE ANY INVOLVEMENT IN COMPANY DECISIONS
25		REGARDING WATER TRANSFERS THROUGH THE PROJECT
26		MAGNOLIA PIPELINE IN 2007?

No, my only involvement was operating the pumps as directed by Mr. Allred. 1 A. DID YOU PLAY ANY ROLE IN MAKING DECISIONS REGARDING 2 O. 3 WATER HAULING FOR STRAWBERRY WATER COMPANY OR PINE **WATER COMPANY IN 2007?** 4 No. My only involvement relating to water hauling was that I was present when 5 water hauling trucks delivered water to Pine or Strawberry, and offloaded their 6 water at the storage tanks. 7 WATER PUMPING THROUGH PROJECT MAGNOLIA. 8 III. IN YOUR TESTIMONY YOU STATE THAT "A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT 9 Q. OF WATER WAS PUMPED" FROM PINE WATER COMPANY TO 10 STRAWBERRY WATER COMPANY DURING THE SUMMER OF 2007, 11 12 **CORRECT?** Yes. 13 A. ON WHOSE INSTRUCTIONS DID YOU MAKE THOSE WATER 14 Q. 15 TRANSFERS? Dave Allred, my supervisor at Brooke Utilities. 16 Α. DO YOU KNOW THE SOURCE OF THE WATER YOU WERE 17 Q. 18 TRANSFERRING THROUGH THE PIPELINE IN THE SUMMER OF 2007? 19 20 A. No. Q. 21 DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE WATER TRANSFERRED BY YOU 22 THROUGH PROJECT MAGNOLIA FROM PINE TO STRAWBERRY 23 WAS WATER PROVIDED BY PINE WATER COMPANY OR WATER 24 HAULED FOR STRAWBERRY WATER COMPANY BUT OFFLOADED 25 AT THE PINE WATER COMPANY STORAGE TANK? 26 Α. I don't know. I simply turned on the pumps and transferred water from Pine to

Strawberry. I do not know whether that water was water provided by Pine Water Company or water provided by Strawberry Water Company or water purchased from another water supplier.

- Q. DURING THE SUMMER OF 2007, DID WATER TRUCKS HAULING WATER INTENDED FOR STRAWBERRY WATER COMPANY OFFLOAD AT THE PINE WATER COMPANY STORAGE TANK?
- A. Yes, during the summer of 2007, I recall water supply trucks containing Strawberry water offloading at the Pine Water Company storage tank on several occasions. By Strawberry water, I mean water intended for delivery to Strawberry Water Company.
- Q. WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHY WATER HAULING TRUCKS OFFLOADED "STRAWBERRY WATER" AT THE PINE WATER COMPANY STORAGE TANK?
- A. My understanding was that it was easier, faster, was more efficient and avoided the vandalism previously encountered to offload the Strawberry Water contained in the water hauling trucks at the Pine Water storage tank. The Pine Water storage tank is approximately 300,000 gallons. By comparison, the Strawberry Water storage tank is approximately 100,000 gallons. It was easier and faster to offload water hauling trucks at the Pine Water tank and ship that water to Strawberry Water Company through the Project Magnolia pipeline, as opposed to driving the water hauling trucks to Strawberry and offloading the water hauling trucks at the Strawberry Water storage tank.
- Q. THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY STATES THAT WATER WAS PUMPED FROM PINE WATER COMPANY TO STRAWBERRY WATER COMPANY THROUGH PROJECT MAGNOLIA "FREQUENTLY" DURING THE SUMMER OF 2007, CORRECT?

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	DO YOU RECALL SPECIFICALLY HOW MANY TIMES THAT
3		HAPPENED DURING THE SUMMER OF 2007?
4	A.	No, I do not know exactly how many times that happened. But I believe it
5		happened approximately a dozen times in 2007.
6	Q.	WHO DIRECTED YOU TO MAKE THOSE WATER TRANSFERS FROM
7		PINE TO STRAWBERRY?
8	A.	Mr. Allred. He would tell me to turn on the Project Magnolia pumps and how
9		much water should be pumped to Strawberry, typically 20,000-30,000 gallons.
10	Q.	HOW MANY TOTAL GALLONS DID YOU SHIP FROM PINE TO
11		STRAWBERRY IN THE SUMMER OF 2007?
12	A.	I do not know exactly how many gallons I transported from Pine to Strawberry.
13	Q.	CAN YOU APPROXIMATE HOW MANY GALLONS YOU DELIVERED
14		FROM PINE WATER COMPANY TO STRAWBERRY WATER
15		COMPANY THROUGH THE PROJECT MAGNOLIA PIPELINE DURING
16		THE SUMMER OF 2007?
17	A.	Mr. Allred typically would specify delivery of 20-30,000 gallons of water to
18		Strawberry on each occasion. I would guess I transported 300,000-360,000 gallons
19		of water to Strawberry in 2007.
20	Q.	DO YOU CONSIDER THAT A "SUBSTANTIAL" AMOUNT OF WATER
21		IN THE CONTEXT OF PINE WATER COMPANY?
22	A.	No, given the total water usage and demand in Pine and Strawberry during the
23		summer, that was not a significant amount of water. I guess that is just the way
24		Mr. Gliege described it in the testimony he drafted.
25		

water transferred back and forth between Pine Water Company and Strawberry

Water Company through Project Magnolia. The number is always going to be

positive. A movement in the clockwise direction means water is going to Pine

24

25

1		from Strawberry. All the numbers read are in an "odometer" type format except
2		for the hundreds.
3	Q.	DURING THE SUMMER OF 2007, WHAT WAS THE READING ON THE
4		TOTALIZING METER?
5	A.	It had a positive number. My recollection is that it had a positive number of
6		approximately 963,000 gallons. It is always going to have a positive number and I
7		don't know if it went backward from zero or forward.
8	Q.	MR. BOSSERT, DID YOU OBSERVE WATER TRUCKS CONTAINING
9		STRAWBERRY WATER OFFLOADING AT THE PINE STORAGE TANK
10		IN THE SUMMER OF 2007?
11	Α.	Yes, on several occasions.
12	Q.	IS IT POSSIBLE THAT SOME OF THAT WATER YOU TRANSPORTED
13		THROUGH PROJECT MAGNOLIA TO STRAWBERRY WAS
14		STRAWBERRY WATER OFFLOADED AT THE PINE STORAGE TANK?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	DO YOU HAVE ANY INFORMATION RELATING TO WHETHER
17		CUSTOMER DEMAND IN STRAWBERRY REQUIRED BROOKE
18		UTILITIES TO OFFLOAD STRAWBERRY WATER AT THE PINE
19		STORAGE TANK OR TEMPORARILY TRANSPORT PINE WATER TO
20		STRAWBERRY TO AVOID CUSTOMER OUTAGES?
21	A.	Yes, based on my knowledge at the time, both actions were necessary to avoid
22		water shortages and customer outages in Strawberry during the summer of 2007.
23	Q.	IF PINE WATER COMPANY TEMPORARILY TRANSPORTED WATER
24		TO STRAWBERRY AND THAT WATER WAS RETURNED TO PINE
25		WATER COMPANY IN THE SAME AMOUNT THROUGH TRUCKS
26		HAULING STRAWBERRY WATER OR THROUGH WATER

TRANSFERS ON PROJECT MAGNOLIA FROM STRAWBERRY TO 1 PINE, WOULD THERE BE ANY HARM TO PINE WATER CUSTOMERS? 2 3 No; if Strawberry Water Company provided Pine Water Company with an equal A. amount of water, either through water trucks offloading at the Pine Storage Tank or 4 through water transfers through Project Magnolia, and Pine customers were not 5 paying hauling charges for Strawberry water, then Pine Water Company would 6 7 have the same total amount of water available for delivery to customers. 8 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD AT THIS TIME? No. 9 A. WAS THIS TESTIMONY PREPARED BY PINE WATER COMPANY'S 10 Q. COUNSEL BASED ON TELEPHONE CONVERSATIONS WITH YOU? 11 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. WERE YOU PROVIDED AN **OPPORTUNITY TO READ THIS** 14 **TESTIMONY AND MAKE ANY CHANGES YOU REQUIRED?** 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. HAVE YOU READ AND REVIEWED THIS FINAL VERSION OF YOUR **TESTIMONY?** 17 18 A. Yes. BY SIGNING BELOW, DO YOU ATTEST TO THE ACCURACY AND 19 Q. 20 TRUTH OF THIS TESTIMONY? 21 A. Yes. 22 m Bosser 4/13/00 23 24 25 2044317.2/75206.010